

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/650,046	GEDDES JR. ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Leslie Wong	2167	

**All Participants:**

(1) Leslie Wong.

(2) Heidi L. Eisenhut (619) 696-6700.

**Date of Interview:** 12 September 2005

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

138, 155, and 158

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 9/13/05  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted Applicants' representative to suggest that the limitation of claim 160 be incorporated into independent claims 138, 155, and 158 in an effort to advance the prosecution. Applicants proposed amending limitation of claim 160 to further recite "DYNAMICALLY prioritizing expanding or CONTRACTING synergistic concentric circles of a search result" into the above mentioned independent claims. Further, Examiner requested that the phrase "computer implemented" be added to claim 138 in order to ascertain that the method is tied to a technological art. Also, the occurrences of the word "adapted" from claim 155 will be removed so that the claim would recite a positive limitation. Applicants' representative agreed to do so and authorized the Examiner to make the above changes via an Examiner's amendment.